



Evaluation Results

Generated by EEE+ Evaluations on 3/31/25 5:10PM

Cao, Yunlong

PHILOS 2 DIS 5: PUZZLES & PARADOXES (30325)

Instructor

Instructor Name: Cao, Yunlong

Title: TEACHG ASST-GSHIP

UCI Email: yunlongc@uci.edu

Department: SOH DO Graduate Office

Class

Term: Winter 2025

Section: PHILOS 2 DIS 5: PUZZLES & PARADOXES (30325)

Enrollment Count: 40

Evaluation

Form: Campuswide Teaching Evaluation Form (CTEF Numeric)

Total Enrollment: 40

Total Responses: 26

Response Rate: 65%

Response Window: 3/3/25 8:00AM - 3/15/25 11:50PM

Evaluation Results

The data on these anonymous evaluations will be compiled and the information used for the instructor's merit and promotion cases, for departmental evaluations of the instructor's performance, and to improve this course.

A. Please comment on the following areas and be as specific as possible:

Question #1 • Text Response • 21 responses (81%)
What are the instructor's teaching strengths?

Results:

- Professor Cao was truly excellent. His passion for the subject was evident in every lecture, and he presented the material in a clear, engaging, and well-organized manner. He made complex concepts accessible and encouraged students to think critically. His enthusiasm created an inspiring learning environment, and it was clear that he genuinely cared about the success of his students.
- He was very engaging and always offered opportunities to speak and share our thoughts. He was very verbally responsive to our questions and thoughts shared during discussion section.
- The TA is well-prepared for discussion sections and has a lot of material to share with us. He is also very passionate and knowledgeable on the subject.
- Yunlong is very passionate and informed about the course. He explains the concepts very well and he can answer any questions we may have.
- His explanation are clear and concise. The slides were good and straightforward. Direct and Understood what his expectations are.
- Discusses topics in a clear and precise manner. Focuses on class engagement and discussion.
- I thought they were very thorough with their explanations and adaptive to student feedback.
- The instructor gives feedback for papers and is very open to helping students.
- Strengths are being responsive with emails and helping students with issues.
- Finding material that's interesting enough for the average student.
- The course is very clear and will answer our questions
- preparing students for papers and quizzes
- Good explanations of the class lessons.
- good at explaining, kept us engaged
- he's good and does his job
- discussion of topics
- Reading off slides
- Content Setting

Explanations

- good
- no

Question #2 • Text Response • 21 responses (81%)
How can this instructor improve as a teacher?

Results:

- He can improve by engaging more with the students during discussion. He can make the lessons more fun by doing interactive activities that can engage the students rather than just lecturing.
- During zoom discussion meetings I would appreciate if they spoke a little louder sometimes the audio was a little muffled making it hard to understand course content.
- Honestly, no improvements needed—Professor Cao was amazing! He did everything possible to create an engaging and supportive classroom experience.
- Maybe have slides available?? Also have drafts of papers so we can see what mistakes specifically not to make??
- They can improve with more cohesive explanations of philosophical ideas.
- Organize what will be said before lecture because a lot of UMs.
- Making prompts less general to help engage with with texts,
- I wish there were more interesting parts to focus on
- Upload slides for students to access later.
- Find a way to engage the students more
- nothing much to say
- n/a he did good :)
- More engaging.
- no comment
- Nothing
- none.
- N/A
- n/a
- n/a
- no
- no

Question #3 • Text Response • 18 responses (69%)
Any other comments about this course?

Results:

- It was disheartening to see how little participation there was in class, despite Professor Cao's clear enthusiasm and effort to engage students. He created an environment that welcomed discussion, but unfortunately, many students didn't take advantage of it. I really appreciated his dedication and wish more people had interacted in class. Overall, this was a fantastic course, and I'm grateful to have taken it with such a passionate professor
- I enjoyed the puzzles and dilemmas where we had to think about understanding a paradox.
- I enjoyed the course and the discussion section.
- Overall, great course and great TA.
- Not really. Thank you!
- none.
- Nope
- N/A
- N/a
- n/a
- n/a
- n/a
- NO
- No
- no
- no
- no
- no

B. Please choose the appropriate rating:

Grid Question Header

If you have no opinion on the question asked or if it does not apply, please select "Not Applicable."

Question #4 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)

The course instructor shows enthusiasm for and is interested in the subject.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	8	32%
8 Answer value: 8	7	28%
7 Answer value: 7	4	16%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	4	16%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	2	8%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.52

Min: 4.00

Median: 8.00

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 1.47

Mode: 9

Question #5 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)
The course instructor stimulates your interest in the subject.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	8	32%
8 Answer value: 8	4	16%
7 Answer value: 7	1	4%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	4	16%
5 Answer value: 5	6	24%
4 Answer value: 4	2	8%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 6.92
Median: 7.00
Standard deviation: 1.81

Min: 4.00
Max: 9.00
Mode: 9

Question #6 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)
The course instructor meets stated objectives of the course.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	9	36%
8 Answer value: 8	11	44%
7 Answer value: 7	1	4%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	2	8%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	2	8%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.84
Median: 8.00
Standard deviation: 1.41

Min: 4.00
Max: 9.00
Mode: 8

Question #7 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)
The course instructor is accessible and responsive.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	11	44%
8 Answer value: 8	6	24%
7 Answer value: 7	3	12%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	2	8%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	2	8%

Mean: 8.00
Median: 8.00
Standard deviation: 1.29

Min: 4.00
Max: 9.00
Mode: 9

Question #8 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)

The course instructor creates an open and fair learning environment.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	13	52%
8 Answer value: 8	6	24%
7 Answer value: 7	3	12%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	2	8%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 8.08

Min: 4.00

Median: 9.00

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 1.26

Mode: 9

Question #9 • Grid Question • 24 responses (92%)
 The course instructor encourages students to think in this course.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	12	50%
8 Answer value: 8	7	29%
7 Answer value: 7	1	4%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	2	8%
5 Answer value: 5	1	4%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 8.00
 Median: 8.50
 Standard deviation: 1.38

Min: 4.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 9

Question #10 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)

The course instructor's presentations and explanations of concepts were clear.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	10	40%
8 Answer value: 8	6	24%
7 Answer value: 7	3	12%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	4	16%
5 Answer value: 5	1	4%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.68

Min: 4.00

Median: 8.00

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 1.43

Mode: 9

Question #11 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)
 Assignments and exams covered important aspects of the course.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	11	44%
8 Answer value: 8	3	12%
7 Answer value: 7	6	24%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	12%
5 Answer value: 5	1	4%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.68
 Median: 8.00
 Standard deviation: 1.43

Min: 4.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 9

Question #12 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)
 What overall evaluation would you give this instructor?

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	9	36%
8 Answer value: 8	10	40%
7 Answer value: 7	2	8%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	12%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.88
 Median: 8.00
 Standard deviation: 1.24

Min: 4.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 8

Question #13 • Grid Question • 25 responses (96%)
What overall evaluation would you give this course?

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	13	52%
8 Answer value: 8	3	12%
7 Answer value: 7	4	16%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	2	8%
5 Answer value: 5	2	8%
4 Answer value: 4	1	4%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.80
Median: 9.00
Standard deviation: 1.52

Min: 4.00
Max: 9.00
Mode: 9

C. Please answer:

Question #14 • Multiple Choice • 26 responses (100%)

Based on completed assignments thus far, what is your current course grade or approximate standing?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
A Answer value: 4	11	42%
B Answer value: 3	12	46%
C Answer value: 2	0	0%
D Answer value: 1	0	0%
F Answer value: 0	0	0%
NA Answer value: None, not counted in stats	3	12%

Mean: 3.48

Min: 3.00

Median: 3.00

Max: 4.00

Standard deviation: 0.50

Mode: 3

Question #15 • Multiple Choice • 25 responses (96%)

How much academic dishonesty seemed to occur in this course? If applicable, please describe the type of academic dishonesty that occurred (not the particular students involved).

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
A lot	2	8%
Some	0	0%
A little	2	8%
None I could discern	21	84%

Question #16 • Text Response • 5 responses (19%)

Examples:

Results:

- I will ask for my classmate I didn't result out question
- none.
- n/a
- n/a
- no

Question #17 • Multiple Choice • 24 responses (92%)

How helpful were the textbooks and/or readings to your overall learning experience?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Very	9	38%
Adequately	11	46%
Somewhat	3	13%
Not at all	1	4%

Question #18 • Multiple Choice • 25 responses (96%)

How challenging was this course?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Very	5	20%
Adequately	13	52%
Somewhat	6	24%
Not at all	1	4%

Thank you for helping to increase teaching excellence at UCI!



Evaluation Results

Generated by EEE+ Evaluations on 3/31/25 5:10PM

Cao, Yunlong

PHILOS 2 DIS 6: PUZZLES & PARADOXES (30326)

Instructor

Instructor Name: Cao, Yunlong

Title: TEACHG ASST-GSHIP

UCI Email: yunlongc@uci.edu

Department: SOH DO Graduate Office

Class

Term: Winter 2025

Section: PHILOS 2 DIS 6: PUZZLES & PARADOXES (30326)

Enrollment Count: 40

Evaluation

Form: Campuswide Teaching Evaluation Form (CTEF Numeric)

Total Enrollment: 40

Total Responses: 22

Response Rate: 55%

Response Window: 3/3/25 8:00AM - 3/15/25 11:50PM

Evaluation Results

The data on these anonymous evaluations will be compiled and the information used for the instructor's merit and promotion cases, for departmental evaluations of the instructor's performance, and to improve this course.

A. Please comment on the following areas and be as specific as possible:

Question #1 • Text Response • 18 responses (82%)
What are the instructor's teaching strengths?

Results:

- I like that they include summaries of the general points which the lectures and readings are discussing. I also like that we have discussion questions to prompt further conversation amongst discussion groups. I also like that for the papers, they give feedback on various aspects from the paper structure to general issues related to the prompt which then helps me and my peers be able to apply that feedback for the paper that follows.
- I think the discussion questions were really thought out and applied to our reading/topic of the week.
- Creates slides that simplify main concepts covered in lecture videos and readings
- The instructor clearly has a solid understanding of the course material.
- He is very vocal and tries to include the students to participate.
- good at thoroughly explaining a variety of thesis and topics
- Being thorough in the discussion sections was very helpful.
- The TA is very informative and is always willing to help.
- The TA was very helpful and explained topics in details.
- thorough teaching and description of arguments
- Assigning meaningful and insightful readings.
- covering and explaining course material
- Clear understanding of the material.
- Was clear and concise
- Presentations.
- fair
- good
- n/a

Question #2 • Text Response • 18 responses (82%)
How can this instructor improve as a teacher?

Results:

- Perhaps rehearsing or planning out some of the examples for the concepts in discussion sections would help, as I sometimes found it difficult to follow along. Also, providing the question in breakout rooms (or the entire discussion slides) would be helpful, as we would occasionally forget the specific discussion topic.
- I think since the discussions are online, it is difficult to get overall group participation so possibly trying to make a comfortable environment with simple surface level icebreakers or something to have everyone be a little more familiar with one another.
- Fair grader, ut harsh criticism. Allowed me to thoroughl developed my understanding shwile still providing a fair grade
- too harsh of a grader for an entry level class, should give more feedback if expects a higher quality of work
- I think time management would be something he could work on. We often ran out of time during our discussion.
- Less monotone but it's not that big of a deal. It doesn't change the quality that much for me.
- Putting more enthusiasm into his teachings as well as being more aware of timing sometimes
- Use different activities to help students engage instead of only breakout rooms
- slow down pace a bit, ask students more questions. no more breakout rooms.
- Possibly give more of a heads up on what to expect in the papers.
- Maybe showing slightly more energy.
- More availability of office hours
- Be better at explaining slower
- Speaking louder and clearly.
- he did great
- nothing
- N/A
- n/a

Question #3 • Text Response • 14 responses (64%)
Any other comments about this course?

Results:

- I think it would be beneficial if he could be clear and louder with his words I often could not understand what he was saying.
- The TA really helped in this course especially with the papers we wrote.
- No other comments. He did his job going over the material.
- No, it was really helpful and interesting.
- The course was interesting.
- N/A
- N/A
- No.
- n/a
- n/a
- NA
- No
- no
- no

B. Please choose the appropriate rating:

Grid Question Header

If you have no opinion on the question asked or if it does not apply, please select "Not Applicable."

Question #4 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)

The course instructor shows enthusiasm for and is interested in the subject.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	10	45%
8 Answer value: 8	1	5%
7 Answer value: 7	3	14%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	14%
5 Answer value: 5	1	5%
4 Answer value: 4	4	18%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.18

Min: 4.00

Median: 7.50

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 1.95

Mode: 9

Question #5 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)

The course instructor stimulates your interest in the subject.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	8	36%
8 Answer value: 8	2	9%
7 Answer value: 7	3	14%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	4	18%
5 Answer value: 5	1	5%
4 Answer value: 4	2	9%
3 Answer value: 3	2	9%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 6.91

Min: 3.00

Median: 7.00

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 2.04

Mode: 9

Question #6 • Grid Question • 21 responses (95%)
 The course instructor meets stated objectives of the course.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	10	48%
8 Answer value: 8	4	19%
7 Answer value: 7	2	10%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	14%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	2	10%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.71
 Median: 8.00
 Standard deviation: 1.61

Min: 4.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 9

Question #7 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)
The course instructor is accessible and responsive.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	11	50%
8 Answer value: 8	3	14%
7 Answer value: 7	2	9%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	1	5%
5 Answer value: 5	2	9%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	1	5%
2 Answer value: 2	1	5%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.36
Median: 8.50
Standard deviation: 2.16

Min: 2.00
Max: 9.00
Mode: 9

Question #8 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)

The course instructor creates an open and fair learning environment.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	11	50%
8 Answer value: 8	5	23%
7 Answer value: 7	1	5%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	14%
5 Answer value: 5	1	5%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.86

Min: 4.00

Median: 8.50

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 1.49

Mode: 9

Question #9 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)
 The course instructor encourages students to think in this course.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	13	59%
8 Answer value: 8	2	9%
7 Answer value: 7	2	9%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	14%
5 Answer value: 5	1	5%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.91
 Median: 9.00
 Standard deviation: 1.53

Min: 4.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 9

Question #10 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)

The course instructor's presentations and explanations of concepts were clear.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	12	55%
8 Answer value: 8	1	5%
7 Answer value: 7	3	14%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	2	9%
5 Answer value: 5	2	9%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	1	5%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.45

Min: 1.00

Median: 9.00

Max: 9.00

Standard deviation: 2.13

Mode: 9

Question #11 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)
 Assignments and exams covered important aspects of the course.

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	9	41%
8 Answer value: 8	4	18%
7 Answer value: 7	3	14%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	5	23%
5 Answer value: 5	0	0%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.64
 Median: 8.00
 Standard deviation: 1.43

Min: 4.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 9

Question #12 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)
 What overall evaluation would you give this instructor?

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	8	36%
8 Answer value: 8	4	18%
7 Answer value: 7	4	18%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	3	14%
5 Answer value: 5	1	5%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	1	5%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.36
 Median: 8.00
 Standard deviation: 1.72

Min: 3.00
 Max: 9.00
 Mode: 9

Question #13 • Grid Question • 22 responses (100%)
What overall evaluation would you give this course?

RESPONSES	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
9 (Excellent) Answer value: 9	11	50%
8 Answer value: 8	5	23%
7 Answer value: 7	2	9%
6 (Good) Answer value: 6	1	5%
5 Answer value: 5	2	9%
4 Answer value: 4	1	5%
3 Answer value: 3	0	0%
2 Answer value: 2	0	0%
1 (Barely Satisfactory) Answer value: 1	0	0%
0 (Unsatisfactory) Answer value: 0	0	0%
Not Applicable Answer value: None, not counted in stats	0	0%

Mean: 7.86
Median: 8.50
Standard deviation: 1.52

Min: 4.00
Max: 9.00
Mode: 9

C. Please answer:

Question #14 • Multiple Choice • 22 responses (100%)

Based on completed assignments thus far, what is your current course grade or approximate standing?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
A Answer value: 4	10	45%
B Answer value: 3	5	23%
C Answer value: 2	1	5%
D Answer value: 1	0	0%
F Answer value: 0	0	0%
NA Answer value: None, not counted in stats	6	27%

Mean: 3.56

Min: 2.00

Median: 4.00

Max: 4.00

Standard deviation: 0.61

Mode: 4

Question #15 • Multiple Choice • 21 responses (95%)

How much academic dishonesty seemed to occur in this course? If applicable, please describe the type of academic dishonesty that occurred (not the particular students involved).

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
A lot	0	0%
Some	1	5%
A little	1	5%
None I could discern	19	90%

Question #16 • Text Response • 5 responses (23%)

Examples:

Results:

- I don't think any academic dishonesty occurred because we had to give our own ideas about the content each week.
- just using google as help to understand the paradoxes.
- N/A
- N/A
- AI

Question #17 • Multiple Choice • 21 responses (95%)

How helpful were the textbooks and/or readings to your overall learning experience?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Very	6	29%
Adequately	7	33%
Somewhat	6	29%
Not at all	2	10%

Question #18 • Multiple Choice • 22 responses (100%)

How challenging was this course?

RESPONSE	COUNT	PERCENTAGE
Very	3	14%
Adequately	11	50%
Somewhat	7	32%
Not at all	1	5%

Thank you for helping to increase teaching excellence at UCI!